Reply to the 1st review report of "Variation of the 630.0 nm airglow emission with meridional neutral wind and neutral temperature around midnight" by Chiang et al.

Incorporating the previous reviewer's comments, the manuscript has been revised. This paper could contribute to studies of the ionospheric dynamics and disturbances. Consequently, this paper is worth publishing in this journal. However, this reviewer recommends the authors to address the following minor comments.

We would like to thank Referee #1 for recognizing the contribution of our work to studies of the ionospheric dynamics and disturbances. In the revised manuscript we have tried to consider all the suggestions and comments that were raised. Here we reply to the Referee #1’s comments accordingly as follows.

-- Abstract and conclusion:
It would be better to describe how largely the temperature variation contributes to the airglow intensity variations compared to the effect of the neutral winds.

We thank Referee#1 for providing the suggestions. Based on our estimation, it would require a temperature change of 145 K to produce a change in the integrated emission rate by 9.8 km-photons/cm³/sec, while it only needs the neutral wind velocity to change by 1.85 m/sec to cause the same change in the integrated emission rate. We have added these descriptions in abstract and conclusion session.

-- LL. 65-67,
"Cases of global midnight brightness were successfully categorized into four types that were mainly due to the influence of temperature changes, neutral wind and ionospheric anomaly."

The authors mention that there are "four types" at l. 65, but only three types are explained at ll. 65-66. According to Chiang et al. [2013], the remaining one type is "no airglow intensity enhancement". This reviewer recommends the authors to change "four types" to "three types" in this manuscript, and also add a word "enhancement" at the part describing "global midnight brightness" to describe apparently enhancement of the 630-nm airglow intensity.

Thank Referee#1 for providing the suggestion. We have revised it in Line 65. And we also use "enhancement of global midnight brightness" to describe apparently enhancement of the 630-nm airglow intensity in Line 66.

-- LL. 250 and 257
Unit of "S" can be described as Rayleigh, defined as a column emission rate of 10^10
photons per square meter per column per second.

We thank Referee#1 for providing the suggestion. The Referee#2 raised the unit issue too and suggested that we regard “km-photons/cm³/sec” as the unit of the volume emission rate change (ΔS). Because the unit of the intensity in Fig. 2 is “photons/cm³/sec”, it is more consistent to consider “km-photons/cm³/sec” the unit of ΔS in Fig. 4. We think it is easier for readers to understand them.

-- Figure 3a shows neutral temperature dependence of k_3[O], k_1[N2], and k_2[O2]. It is useful for the reader to describe the temperature dependences of coefficients (k_3, k_1, and k_2) and densities ([O], [N2], and [O2]) separately to show each contribution to the temperature dependence of the volume emission rate. When the neutral temperature increases from 660 K to 900 K, k_1 and k_2 decrease by 6% and 4%, respectively, and k_3 increases by 7%. Therefore, it is found that temperature dependence of the three parameters shown in Figure 3a (k_3[O], k_1[N2], and k_2[O2]) are mainly ascribed to that of the atomic and molecular densities ([O], [N2], and [O2]), and that the coefficients (k_3, k_1, and k_2) does not change significantly.

Thanks for Referee #1's nice suggestions. We have added the new Fig. 3(a) and 3(c) to show the particle densities separately. So in this manuscript the rate coefficients (k_1, k_2, and k_3) and the densities of [O], [N2] and [O2] show each contribution to the temperature dependence of the volume emission rate. We also added new descriptions in the “Results and Analysis” session in Lines 180-186.

-- Last comment of the previous review report
L. 916, Figure 2 --> Figure 3
was a mistake by this reviewer. This reviewer apologize the authors.

We thank Referee #1 for reviewing the manuscript.
Reply to the 2nd review report of "Variation of the 630.0 nm airglow emission with meridional neutral wind and neutral temperature around midnight" by Chiang et al.

The 2nd review of "Variation of the 630.0 nm airglow emission with meridional neutral wind and neutral temperature around midnight" by Chiang et al.

Summary: The authors have addressed all my previous concerns thoroughly and the content has been improved distinctively. However, the unit of the integrated emission rate sounds incorrect, and the relevant content is blurry. Given the interesting finding in the turning point of the temperature against the volume emission rate, this work is worth to consider for publication after the substantial revision.

According to the explanation in Section 4, I am trying to , the change (\(S_{\Delta T}\) and \(S_{\Delta W}\)) in the integrated emission rate along the altitude \(h\) in the temperature and the neutral wind can be write down as below,

\[
S_{\Delta T}(h) = R_2(T_2, h) - R_1(T_1, h) = \int_0^h I(T_2, z)\,dz - \int_0^h I(T_1, z)\,dz
\]

Where \(R_1\) and \(R_2\) are the Integrated emission rate with respect to temperature \(T_1\) and \(T_2\).

\[
S_{\Delta W}(h) = R_2(W_2, h) - R_1(W_1, h) = \int_0^h I(W_2, z)\,dz - \int_0^h I(W_1, z)\,dz
\]

Where \(R_1\) and \(R_2\) are the Integrated emission rate with respect to neutral wind \(W_1\) and \(W_2\). Combine the both temperatures and neutral winds , the change of the integrated emission rate along the altitude \(h\) becomes

\[
S_{\Delta T, \Delta W}(h) = R_2(T_2, W_2, h) - R_1(T_1, W_1, h) = \int_0^h I(T_2, W_2, z)\,dz - \int_0^h I(T_1, W_1, z)\,dz
\]

We thank Referee #2 for providing the constructive comments. These comments made by Referee #2 significantly help us improve the explanation of our calculations on the emission rates in different temperature and neutral wind conditions. Therefore, we have incorporated Referee #2’s comment in this manuscript (Lines 253-267). We also take into account Referee#2’s other comments and revised the manuscript accordingly. Here we reply to the Referee #2’s comments accordingly as follows.

Major points:

1. The unit of the change of the integrated emission rate appears to be incorrect. It should be in the same of the volume emission rate (photons/ cm\(^3\)/s) multiplied by a length unit, more
specifically, km-photons/cm$^3$/s.

We are sorry that we did not write down "photons" in the unit in the previous manuscript. We thank the Referee#2 and we have revised them in this manuscript.

2. Line 264-267: "The maximum change of the integrated emission rate by increasing the neutral temperature is …… at 145 K." I am confused by the sentence. As my understanding, Figure 4 (a) is the change of the temperature verses the change of the integrated emission rate. However, the sentence is telling me that it is the change of the integrated emission rate in the certain temperature (145 K). Could you elaborate which parameters are actually compared in Figure 4?

We are sorry that our previous sentences about the neutral temperature are not clear enough. The “145 K” in the article is the temperature change ($\Delta T$). We have revised the sentences in Lines 289-293.

3. If my understanding is correct,

$$S_{\Delta T}(h) = R_2(T_2, h) - R_1(T_1, h) = \int_0^h I(T_2, z)\,dz - \int_0^h I(T_1, z)\,dz$$

We need a fixed $h$ to make $\Delta T$-S plot, but the authors did not mention any altitude dependence with respect to Figure 4, so this is unclear to me what is the physical meaning of Figure 4?

As mentioned earlier, we have incorporated Referee #2’s comment to improve the explanation of our calculations on the emission rates in different temperature and neutral wind conditions (Lines 253-267). We also provided the altitude information in Lines 268-271. From Fig. 4(a), increasing the neutral temperature by about 145 K leads to the maximum change of the integrated emission rate of 9.7859 km-photons/cm$^3$/sec. In contrast, to get the same changes of the emission rate by varying the neutral wind, it just requires a change of neutral wind velocity by 1.85 m/sec (Fig. 4(b)). With the above estimation, the neutral wind effect would certainly be larger than that of the neutral temperature for this case. These explanations can be found in Lines 289-294.

Minor points:

The authors used $S$ for all the change of the integrated emission rate despite of it is $\Delta T$ or $\Delta W$ dependent. It is confusing when read it through. I suggest to change the notation in $S_{\Delta T}, S_{\Delta W}$
and $S_{AT,AW}$.

Thank Referee #2 for providing the suggestions. They are revised in the manuscript.
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Abstract

The ISUAL payload onboard the FORMOSAT-2 satellite has often observed airglow bright spots around midnight at equatorial latitudes. Such features had been suggested as the signature of thermospheric midnight temperature maximum (MTM) effect, which was associated with temperature and meridional neutral winds. This study investigates the influence of neutral temperature and meridional neutral wind on the volume emission rates of the 630.0 nm nightglow. We utilize the SAMI2 model to simulate the charged and neutral species at the 630.0 nm nightglow emission layer under different temperatures with and without the effect of neutral wind. The results show that the neutral wind is more efficient than temperature variation in affecting the nightglow emission rates. For example, based on our estimation, it would require a temperature change of 145 K to produce a change in the integrated emission rate by 9.8 km-photons/cm³/sec, while it only needs the neutral wind velocity to change by 1.85 m/sec to cause the same change in the integrated emission rate. However, the emission rate features a local maximum in its variation with the temperature. Two kinds of tendencies can be seen regarding the temperature that corresponds to the turning point, which is named the turning temperature ($T_t$) in this study: firstly, $T_t$ decreases with the emission rate for the same altitude; secondly, for approximately the same emission rate, $T_t$ increases with the altitude.
1. Introduction

The atomic oxygen red line at 630.0 nm is the most prominent emission in the nighttime ionosphere. It usually forms an emission layer in the F region at altitudes of ~200–300 km and can be easily observed from ground-based observatories or satellites [Nelson and Cogger, 1971; Kelley et al., 2002; Thuillier et al., 2002]. The emission is related to O(1D), whose production in the nighttime is mainly via the charge exchange and dissociative chemical processes listed as follows:

\[
\text{O}^+ + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2^+ + \text{O} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{O}_2^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{O}(1\text{D}) + \text{O} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{O}(1\text{D}) \rightarrow \text{O}(3\text{P}) + \text{hv}(630.0 \text{ nm}) \quad (3)
\]

Based on the [O+] ~ Ne (electron density) approximation [Peterson et al., 1966; Link and Cogger, 1988] in the F2 region, the intensity of the OI(1D) 630.0 nm spectral line is usually used to identify the ionospheric electron density variations. From a rich history in the literature, the intensity of OI(1D) 630.0 nm airglow emissions is known as Midnight Brightness Wave (MBW) [Herrero and Meriwether, 1980; Herrero et al., 1993; Colerico et al., 1996; Colerico and Mendillo, 2002]. During occurrences of MBW, increase in temperature are usually observed around local midnight, which are termed Midnight Temperature Maximum (MTM) effect. Harper [1973] and Spencer et al. [1979] reported the MTM phenomenon first.
The cases in their studies were observed by the incoherent scatter radar from Arecibo and the NATE experiment aboard the Atmospheric Explorer E (AE-E) satellite, respectively. The amplitude of the temperature bulge was found to range from 20 to 200 K [Spencer et al., 1979; Burnside et al., 1981; Colerico and Mendillo, 2002; Meriwether et al., 2008]. In addition, a number of studies about midnight brightness have reported the relation between in-situ temperature and neutral wind measurements [e.g., Herrero and Meriwether, 1980; Sastri et al., 1994, Colerico et al., 1996, 2002; Otsuka et al., 2003; Mukherjee et al., 2006]. Rajesh et al. [2009] showed the first results of the limb image of 630.0 nm airglow using Imager of Sprites and Upper Atmospheric Lightning (ISUAL) [Chang et al., 2012; Chiang et al., 2013; Frey et al., 2016] on board the FORMOSAT-2 satellite. Adachi et al. [2010] also showed a 14-day time span of airglow observations obtained from the Asian sector by ISUAL.

On the basis of the observation time and location, they suggested that the equatorial airglow probably corresponded to the midnight brightening wave (MBW) which is in association with the occurrence of MTM. Furthermore, Chiang et al. [2013] statistically investigated the global midnight brightness according to seasons and found that the global midnight brightness near the equatorial regions was controlled by different mechanisms. In the study, the features and behavior of the 630.0 nm midnight intensity were investigated by analyzing the optical images obtained by
ISUAL. Cases of enhancement of global midnight brightness were successfully categorized into three types that were mainly due to the influence of temperature changes, neutral wind and ionospheric anomaly.

Based on the previous studies, it is known that temperature and meridional neutral wind are correlated and associated with manifestations of MTM. Thus, we want to discuss these two effects at the same time. In this study, we calculate the volume emission rates to understand the influence of neutral temperature and meridional neutral wind on the 630.0 nm nightglow. We shall discuss the sensitivities of the emission rates to the temperature and the densities of several neutral and charged species. Moreover, some new features will also be shown in the discussion section. And we also provide ISUAL observation results to show that our calculation results are reasonable and realistic.

### 2. Model features

Temperature changes and meridional neutral wind can influence the $\text{O}^{(1}\text{D})$ nightglow intensity through particle densities. The volume emission rate of the 630.0 nm nightglow in the F2 region [Sobral et al., 1993] can be derived from the chemical process of 630.0 nm nightglow (Supplement I). It is shown as follows:

$$I_{630} = \frac{A_{1D} \mu_D}{k_1[N_2] + k_2[O_2] + k_3[O] + A_{1D} + A_{2D}} \cdot \left[O^+\right]$$

(4)
where $\mu_D$ is the quantum yield of O($^1D$), which is about 1~1.3 [Torr and Torr, 1982];

$\gamma$ is the rate coefficient of Reaction (1) [St.-Maurice and Torr, 1978]; $k_1$, $k_2$ and $k_3$ are the rate coefficients of O($^1D$) quenched by N$_2$, O$_2$ and O, respectively [Langford et al., 1986; Streit et al., 1976; Sun and Dalgarno, 1992]; and $A_{1D}$ and $A_{2D}$ are the transition coefficients [Froese-Fischer and Saha, 1983]. The formulas for the rate coefficients [Vlasov et al., 2005] are listed in Table 1. The production rate of O($^1D$) is contributed by the oxygen ion density [O$^+$] and the molecular oxygen density [O$_2$] through the linked reactions (1) and (2). The major loss rates of O($^1D$) are associated with the densities of molecular oxygen [O$_2$], molecular nitrogen [N$_2$], and atomic oxygen [O], as reflected in Eq. (4). The densities [O$^+$], [O$_2$], [N$_2$] and [O] and the rate coefficients $\gamma$, $k_1$, $k_2$ and $k_3$ all depend on temperature. In addition, [O$^+$] may change with the neutral wind conditions. In order to determine $I_{630}$ under different temperatures and neutral wind conditions, one must first determine the densities of the relevant species.

In this study, [O$^+$] and plasma temperatures under various conditions are found by the SAMI2 model of the Naval Research Lab [Huba et al., 2000]. SAMI2 is a two-dimensional, first-principle model of the comprehensive low to mid-latitude ionosphere. SAMI2 code includes most of the mechanisms that should be considered in the ionosphere. There are photoionizations, chemical process, effects by the magnetic and electric fields, plasma dynamics and the influence from the neutral
atmosphere. The input variables, neutral species, are specified using the empirical codes, the Mass Spectrometer Incoherent Scatter model (NRLMSISE-00) [Picone et al., 2002] for neutral densities and the Horizontal Wind Model (HWM-93) [Hedin et al., 1996] for neutral wind. The continuity and momentum equations of seven ion species (H+, He+, N+, O+, N2+, NO+, and O2+) are solved in the code.

In order to understand the differences due to the meridional neutral wind, we apply the SAMI2 model with and without neutral wind by changing the multiplicative factor of neutral wind (tvn0) to see the differences between two solstices. Thus, we simulate the cases of February 1, 2007 (northern winter) and August 1, 2007 (northern summer). In the simulations, we suppose that the solar and geomagnetic activities are in quiet conditions (F10.7 index = 60, Ap index = 7). The simulations are run for the altitude range between 150 and 1000 km from -30° to +30° geomagnetic latitudes. Inside this region, we use 100 geomagnetic field lines and 201 grid points along each field line. Our report of the results will focus on the locations at -5° and +5° geomagnetic latitude (+2° and +12° geographic latitude respectively) along the 100°E geographic longitude, which intersects these latitudes in the Asian region. Figure 1 shows the O+ density along the magnetic lines with altitudes between 150 and 315 km in the latitude-altitude plane at the time and longitude described above. Figure 1(a) shows the results under the condition that lacks neutral wind, and Fig. 1(b) shows the
results with the effect of normal neutral wind. The two left panels are for February 1, 2007 and the two right panels are for August 1, 2007. The arrows plotted in Fig. 1(b) indicate the strength and directions of the meridional neutral wind. Comparison of Fig. 1(a) and 1(b) clearly shows that meridional winds transport the plasma along the magnetic field line and change the plasma density distribution. And this change of the plasma profile could directly modify the emission rate in Eq. (4). The dashed lines, which correspond to ±5° geomagnetic latitude, indicate the locations where the intensity of the 630.0 nm nightglow is examined in detail in this study.

3. Results and Analysis

Based on Eq. (4), \( I_{630} \) under different temperatures and different neutral wind conditions is plotted in Fig. 2. The neutral wind conditions for the results in Fig. 2 are the same as those for Fig. 1. The strength and directions of the neutral winds are indicated by the arrows shown in Fig. 1. The simulation results shown in the figure are for (a) February 1, 2007 and (b) August 1, 2007, with the left and right panels respectively corresponding to -5° and +5° geomagnetic latitude. The letters, A, B, C, D and E, indicate the altitudes of 220, 230, 240, 250 and 260 km, respectively. The dotted lines indicate the results with normal neutral wind effect; the solid lines indicate the results without neutral wind effect. Note that the temperatures of around
650 K, corresponding to the leftmost points of the lines in the figure, were the initial neutral temperatures obtained from the NRLMSISE-00 model at the various altitudes. These neutral temperatures are input into the SAMI2 model, and we set up the 48-hour data as a running loop to obtain the plasma data. In order to explore the effects of temperature change, we modify the codes of SAMI2 by increasing 50 K per run as the inputs, and perform the simulations to calculate the emission intensity values associated with different temperature conditions.

From Fig. 2, we can see the influence of temperature and neutral wind on the nightglow emission. Note that the neutral wind conditions are as in Fig. 1: Fig. 1(a) for zero wind condition and Fig. 1(b) for normal wind condition. The influence of the temperature variations on $I_{630}$ is usually less than 3 photons/cm$^3$/sec at the heights of 220 to 260 km. The variation of $I_{630}$ with temperature, however, is not monotonic; there is a maximum in the intensity as the temperature changes. In terms of height, as $I_{630}$ depends on the local neutral and charged particle densities in accordance with Eq. (4), the emission is the strongest at 230 km, except for the condition of very weak emission ($< 1$ photon/cm$^3$/sec) that occurs at $+5^\circ$ geomagnetic latitude in August with normal wind effect (right panel of Fig. 2(b)).

As for the influence of the neutral wind on February 1, 2007 (Fig. 2(a)), both locations ($\pm 5^\circ$ geomagnetic latitude) clearly feature significantly smaller $I_{630}$ under
this effect. We suggest that this is due to the meridional neutral wind blowing
equatorward in both hemispheres (see Fig. 1) and pushing the plasma upward along
the field lines, reducing the local charged particle densities and consequently the
emission rates as well. On August 1, 2007, as shown in Fig. 2(b), the neutral wind
causes the intensity at +5° geomagnetic latitude to decrease significantly for the same
reason as the wind direction is locally southward (equatorward). This southward
neutral wind, however, has an opposite effect on the intensity at -5° geomagnetic
latitude; being locally poleward, the wind pushes the plasma downward along the
field lines, increasing the local charged particle densities and consequently the
emission rates as well.

From Eq. (4), we can see that \( I_{630} \) is related to the densities of several neutral
species as well. In order to find out how the temperature affects the overall chemical
process that leads to the 630.0 nm emission, a few relevant parameters are shown as
functions of temperature in Fig. 3, based on the condition at 230 km altitude and -5°
geomagnetic latitude on February 1, 2007. In Fig. 3(a), we plot \([O]\), \([N_2]\) and \([O_2]\) in
dotted, dashed and solid lines respectively. Then the corresponding loss rates of these
neutral species are shown in Fig. 3(b). In Fig. 3(c), \([O^+]\) with and without neutral wind
effect are plotted with dotted line and solid line respectively. The values of \( \gamma \)
\([O^+][O_2] \), which are related to the production rate and in the numerator of Eq. (4), are
plotted in Fig. 3(d). The dotted line represents the normal neutral wind condition, and the solid line for the windless condition.

When the neutral temperature increases from 600 K to 900 K, the rate coefficients \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) decrease by 5.8% and 3.7%, respectively, and \( k_3 \) increases by 7.4% as calculated from Table 1. The rate coefficients \( k_1 \), \( k_2 \) and \( k_3 \) do not change significantly. However, in the same temperature range, \([O]\), \([N_2]\) and \([O_2]\) show prominent increases of 253%, 363% and 171%, respectively, as shown in Fig. 3(a).

Therefore, the atomic and molecular densities dominate the changes of the loss rates (Fig. 3(b)).

4. Discussion

From Fig. 1(a), we can see that along the field lines, the \( O^+ \) density is maximum around the geomagnetic equator when there is no neutral wind, whether it is in the summer or winter season. But the \( [O^+] \) maxima tilt to the winter hemisphere in the presence of summer-to-winter neutral wind at the geomagnetic equator, as shown in Fig. 1(b). Therefore, we suggest that the low-latitude emission enhancement in the winter hemisphere be achieved by plasma accumulation brought about by the summer-to-winter neutral wind.

From the results that include the normal wind effect as shown in Fig. 2, the
intensities on opposite sides of the geomagnetic equator are very different. The
weaker emission is in the summer hemisphere, and brightness of higher intensity
appears in the winter hemisphere. In previous studies, Rishbeth and Setty [1961]
found that NmF2 was larger in winter than in summer, and they first suggested the
possibility of composition change being the cause of the winter anomaly. Rishbeth
[1972] and Torr and Torr [1973] suggested that the anomaly might be due to
transequatorial neutral wind blowing from the summer hemisphere to the winter
hemisphere. Therefore, the enhancement of the emission at the low latitudes of the
winter hemisphere should be the results of plasma accumulation caused by the neutral
wind effect.

Figure 2 shows the influence of temperature and neutral wind on the nightglow
emission rates. We estimate the intensity change under different neutral wind
conditions based on the location at 230 km altitude and -5° geomagnetic latitude on
February 1, 2007. In this situation, the emission would be reduced by the wind flow,
and the average change is about 0.690 photon/cm³/sec for every m/sec of the wind
speed. In comparison, the change due to temperature variation is just 0.015
photon/cm³/sec for every K. The ratio of the two numbers is 46. Consideration of
other conditions, such as those cases shown in Fig. 2, may reduce the corresponding
ratio, but it should still be at least 20. According to earlier studies, the neutral wind
speed is generally 0-300 m/sec in the F region [Dyson et al., 1997], while the amplitude of the temperature bulge due to the MTM effect has been found to range from 20 to 200 K [Burnside et al., 1981; Colerico and Mendillo, 2002]. Even if one assumes the maximum wind speed is just 60 m/sec as in the simulations in this study, it would require a temperature change of 1200 K to match the same change in emission intensity caused by the neutral wind. Such a large temperature change is not realistic in comparison with the maximum observed difference of 200 K. Thus, the emission rate of nightglow, realistically, is influenced more by the neutral wind than temperature change when the former mechanism is clearly present.

The densities and some of the rate coefficients are temperature dependent, as given in Eq. (4). We analyze the change with temperature of the individual terms in Eq. (4). In Fig. 3(b) and Fig. 3(d), we plot the terms in the numerator and denominator on the right-hand side of Eq. (4) and find that all these terms increase with temperature. However, if we consider the derivative of the terms with respect to temperature, which characterizes how sensitive the terms are to temperature change, we notice that the derivatives for $k_1[N_2]$ and $k_3[O]$ increase with temperature while those for $k_2[O_2]$ and $\gamma[O^+][O_2]$ decrease, as shown in Fig. 3(b) and 3(d). How the variations of these terms affect the dependence of $I_{630}$ on temperature can now be understood from the right-hand side of Eq. (4). In particular, the numerator, which
characterizes the production rate of $\text{O}(^1\text{D})$ and is proportional to $\gamma [\text{O}^+][\text{O}_2]$, increases with temperature while featuring a relatively large increase at lower temperatures (less than $\sim 750$ K). On the other hand, the denominator, which characterizes the total loss rate of $\text{O}(^1\text{D})$ and is dominated by $k_1[\text{N}_2]$ as Fig. 3(b) indicates, features a relatively large increase at higher temperatures (larger than $\sim 750$ K). Upon division of the numerator by the denominator, the plot of $I_{630}$ vs. temperature is thus characterized by quasi-parabolic lines with the presence of a local maximum --- or a turning point in the curve --- as shown in Fig. 2. We refer to the temperature that corresponds to such a local maximum as the turning temperature ($T_1$). Below $T_1$, $I_{630}$ increases with temperature, meaning that the increase in the production of $\text{O}(^1\text{D})$ associated with a rise in the temperature is more efficient than the increase in its loss. In contrast, $I_{630}$ decreases with temperature above $T_1$, meaning that the increase in the production of $\text{O}(^1\text{D})$ associated with a rise in the temperature is less efficient than the increase in its loss. Thus, $T_1$ has the significance of being the temperature at which the production and loss rates of $\text{O}(^1\text{D})$ are equally sensitive to a temperature change.

In order to quantitatively describe the effects of neutral temperature and meridional neutral winds, we calculate the 630-nm airglow intensity by integrating the volume emission rate along the altitude. Thus, the change in the integrated emission
rate ($\Delta S_T$) over a fixed altitude range $h_1$ to $h_2$ due to a change in temperature from $T_1$ to $T_2$ can be written as:

$$\Delta S_T = S(T_2, W) - S(T_1, W) = \int_{h_1}^{h_2} I_{630}(T_2, W, z) dz - \int_{h_1}^{h_2} I_{630}(T_1, W, z) dz ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $S$ is the integrated emission rate from height $h_1$ to $h_2$ as a function of temperature and neutral wind speed $W$. Similarly, the change in the integrated emission rate ($\Delta S_W$) over a fixed altitude range $h_1$ to $h_2$ due to a change in the neutral wind speed from $W_1$ to $W_2$ can be obtained as:

$$\Delta S_W = S(T, W_2) - S(T, W_1) = \int_{h_1}^{h_2} I_{630}(T, W_2, z) dz - \int_{h_1}^{h_2} I_{630}(T, W_1, z) dz ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Combining the changes in both temperature and neutral wind, one may express the change of the integrated emission rate over the altitude range as:

$$\Delta S_{T,W} = S(T_2, W_2) - S(T_1, W_1) = \int_{h_1}^{h_2} I_{630}(T_2, W_2, z) dz - \int_{h_1}^{h_2} I_{630}(T_1, W_1, z) dz .$$

One can show that to the leading order, the above equation reduces to

$$\Delta S_{T,W} = \Delta S_T + \Delta S_W ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

with $\Delta S_T$ in Eq. (5) evaluated at $W = W_1$ and $\Delta S_W$ in Eq. (6) evaluated at $T = T_1$.

Based on Eq. (4), we calculated $I_{630}$ for different temperatures and neutral wind conditions, and then according to the integrals in Eq. (5) and (6), integrated the emission rates over the major altitudes of the 630.0 nm nightglow emission layer, ranging from 150 to 315 km altitude. Figure 4(a) and 4(b) show how the integrated emission rates vary with the increases in the neutral temperature and neutral wind
273 speed, respectively. Fig. 4(a) shows the result regarding the integrated emission rate as
274 affected by neutral temperature (at -5° geomagnetic latitude on February 1, 2007). The
275 curve in red is fitted as 2nd-order polynomial:
276
\[ \Delta S_T = (0.1354 \pm 0.0069)(\Delta T) - (4.6835 \pm 0.2652) \times 10^{-4}(\Delta T)^2, \]
277
where \( \Delta S_T \) (km-photons/cm\(^3\)/sec) is the change in integrated emission rate and
278 \( \Delta T \) (K) is the increase in neutral temperature, compared with the standard conditions
279 of 650 K neutral temperature and zero neutral wind. Fig. 4(b) shows the result
280 regarding the integrated emission rate as affected by neutral wind. The results are
281 obtained based on the same standard conditions as those considered in Fig. 4(a). The
282 curve in red fits an exponential function:
283
\[ \Delta S_W = (64.8883 \pm 0.7772) \times \{1 - \exp\left[-(0.0885 \pm 0.0041)(\Delta W)\right]\}, \]
284
where \( \Delta S_W \) (km-photons/cm\(^3\)/sec) is the change in integrated emission rate and
285 \( \Delta W \) (m/sec) is the change in neutral wind velocity. Therefore, according to Eq. (7),
286 we combine the results of the two fitting functions to approximate the overall change
287 in the integrated emission rate due to the two effects:
288
\[ \Delta S_{T,W} = 0.1354(\Delta T) - 4.6835 \times 10^{-4}(\Delta T)^2 + 64.8883\left[1 - \exp\left(-0.0885(\Delta W)\right)\right] \]
289
Based on the function, we can quantitatively compare the neutral temperature
289 effect with the neutral wind effect. From Fig. 4(a), increasing the neutral temperature
290 by about 145 K leads to the maximum change of the integrated emission rate of
9.7859 km-photons/cm³/sec. In contrast, to get the same change of the emission rate by varying the neutral wind, it just requires a change of neutral wind velocity by 1.85 m/sec (Fig. 4(b)). With the above estimation, the neutral wind effect would certainly be larger than that of the neutral temperature for this case.

Figure 5 shows a plot of $T_t$ versus the emission rate $I_{630}$ at specific altitudes. The results include all the cases shown in Fig. 2 with different symbols indicating different altitudes. Two kinds of tendencies can be seen from the plot: firstly, $T_t$ decreases with $I_{630}$ for the same altitude; secondly, for approximately the same emission rate, $T_t$ increases with the altitude. This is the first result to show these tendencies of the turning temperature.

Observations have found cases that are consistent with our simulation results regarding the influence of the neutral wind. Figure 6 shows four cases observed by ISUAL in the Asian region at 23:00 local time during the two months considered in our studies: two cases in February shown on the left side and two cases in August shown on the right side. Figure 6(a) would be for the condition of no wind or weak wind while Fig. 6(b) would correspond to the normal wind condition. We can see from Fig. 6(a) that a bright spot of nightglow was observed at the geomagnetic equator during both months. As the volume emission rate, according to Eq. (4), is proportional to the $O^+$ density, the observations were supportive of the simulation
results of density variations in Fig. 1(a). Similarly, the two cases in Fig. 6(b), which featured nightglow bright spots in the winter hemisphere, suggested that the density variations shown in Fig. 1(b) are realistic.

Previously, Chiang et al. [2013] examined the occurrence rates of global midnight brightness observed by ISUAL. In order to verify the enhancement of the emission intensity in the winter hemisphere by the neutral wind, we examined the ISUAL data that correspond to the specific regions and seasons considered in our simulations and the results are shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b). We found that among the 22 valid observation days during January and February, ~77% of the days featured the appearance of nightglow bright spots in the low-latitude region of the winter hemisphere (Fig. 7(a)). Furthermore, ~83% of the 30 valid observation days during July-August also featured nightglow bright spots at low latitudes in the corresponding winter hemisphere (Fig. 7(b)). Thus, statistical results regarding the location of nightglow bright spots agree with the simulation results that demonstrate the crucial role of the neutral wind in affecting the location of high-intensity nightglow regions.

Rajesh et al. [2014] showed their simulation results and claimed that using merely the background meridional winds could reproduce the observed brightness. They selected a few cases of ISUAL image data and compared those data with the simulation results by the SAMI2 model. Nevertheless, using such a method by Rajesh
et al. [2014], one should be very careful about the details when it comes to physical insights or conclusions drawn from the study. This is because ISUAL only provided optical data and there was not any instrument on the satellite to directly observe the relevant conditions (temperature, wind field, etc.) in the environment. Without such observations to provide constraints for modeling, one can easily reproduce similar-looking results of selected short-period data by adjusting modeling parameters in simulations. However, images seemingly similar to that of an ISUAL observation could be produced from simulation results using considerably different parameter values, which may correspond to different dominant mechanisms. Thus, when there are few constraints for the parameter values, roughly comparing a short-period case of ISUAL image data with simulation results without paying attention to details may lead to an interpretation of brightness production mechanisms that is different from the real situation.

Observations of the movement of MTM temperature bulge and that of nightglow have led to postulations of an association between pressure bulge and nightglow intensity [Colerico et al., 1996; Colerico and Mendillo, 2002; Meriwether et al., 2008]. However, the high intensities of the observed nightglow have not been successfully reproduced using existing models incorporating the MTM effect, such as the NCAR thermosphere-ionosphere-electrodynamics general circulation model...
(TIEGCM), as pointed out by Colerico and Mendillo [2002] and Meriwether et al. [2008]. Note that temperature was not included as a varying quantity in traditional ionospheric models. Thus the simulation study of temperature effect upon nightglow intensity is lacking. Our simulation results have demonstrated the unexpectedly non-monotonic dependence of the intensity of nightglow on the neutral temperature, with the turning temperature $T_t$ that arises from the dependence implying a limitation for the growth of the emission rates. As the temperature increases above $T_t$, the emission rates do not continue to grow. In fact, temperature change such as in the case of heat transfer is affected by the density, which controls the heat capacity. At the same time, temperature change may generate pressure difference and lead to transport that changes density profiles. As nightglow intensity depends also on particle densities, its non-monotonic variations with temperature are in fact due to the combination of temperature and density. While our study suggests that neutral wind is the dominant driver of the $I_{630}$ variation, its influence, however, is via transportation of plasma and neutral particles, in which case consideration of the effect of temperature on the density is essential. Moreover, it has not been established that MTM is affected by the wind primarily. The combination of temperature and density, which has shown to cause non-monotonic results in this study, may very well be an important factor in the study of MTM. Thus, if one wants to fully reproduce the observation results, we
suggest other extra factors associated with temperature variations should also be considered, such as different tidal modes from lower atmosphere [Akmaev et al., 2009]. Our findings of the turning temperature tendencies can help as a guide for choosing the background temperature in future modeling attempts to obtain intensities of nightglow brightness comparable to those observed from ground or from space.

*Shepherd* [2016] investigates the possible extent of the MTM at ~ 20°N–40°N, considering O(1D) airglow volume emission rates, Doppler temperatures, and neutral wind (zonal and meridional) observations by the Wind Imaging Interferometer (WINDII) experiment on board the Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite (UARS). Their results provide us the relations of the zonal wind to the O(1D) emission rate and of the meridional wind to the temperature. Such relations potentially guide us to design a more extensive future study in simulation so as to reproduce the observation and statistical results by *Shepherd* [2016].

5. Conclusion

Previous studies of the MTM effect have pointed out that the temperature anomaly influences the nighttime behavior of the thermosphere. And the neutral wind also plays a key role to cause the intensity variations in the nighttime ionosphere. Based on our simulation results, both temperature change and meridional neutral wind
could cause the 630.0 nm nightglow intensity to vary while the latter is more effective.

A temperature change of 145 K is shown to result in an integrated emission rate change of 9.8 km-photons/cm³/sec. However, it only requires a neutral wind velocity change of 1.85 m/sec to produce the same change in the integrated emission rate. And the simulation results may successfully explain most of the observational results by ISUAL. An unexpected aspect of the results is the non-monotonic dependence of the emission rate on temperature, featuring a turning point as the temperature changes. The temperature $T_t$ at which the turning point occurs corresponds to a balanced condition between the production and loss of O(1D). Thus, our results help understand how the overall chemical process of nightglow is affected by the variations of neutral temperature and neutral wind. Two kinds of tendencies can be seen regarding the turning temperature $T_t$. One is the higher $T_t$ corresponding to higher altitude at the same emission rate, the other is the higher $T_t$ corresponding to lower emission rate at the same altitude. Our findings of these turning temperature tendencies can guide future modeling attempts to match the observed nightglow brightness intensities.
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Table 1. Reactions and rate coefficients related to the volume emission rate of the 630.0 nm airglow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactions</th>
<th>Rate Coefficients (cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$, s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{O}^+ + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2^+ + \text{O}$</td>
<td>$\gamma = 2.82 \times 10^{-11} - 7.74 \times 10^{-12} \left(\frac{T_{\text{eff}}}{300}\right) + 1.07 \times 10^{-12} \left(\frac{T_{\text{eff}}}{300}\right)^2 - 5.17 \times 10^{-14} \left(\frac{T_{\text{eff}}}{300}\right)^3 + 9.65 \times 10^{-16} \left(\frac{T_{\text{eff}}}{300}\right)^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{O}(1D) + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{N}_2$</td>
<td>$k_1 = 2 \times 10^{-11} \exp(107.8/T_n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{O}(1D) + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{O}_2$</td>
<td>$k_2 = 2.9 \times 10^{-11} \exp(67.5/T_n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{O}(1D) + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{O}$</td>
<td>$k_3 = (3.73 + 1.1965 \times 10^{-1} T_n^{0.5} - 6.5898 \times 10^{-4} T_n) \times 10^{-12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{O}(1D) \rightarrow \text{O} + h\nu(630.0 \text{nm})$</td>
<td>$A_{1D} = 7.1 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{O}(1D) \rightarrow \text{O} + h\nu(634.4 \text{nm})$</td>
<td>$A_{2D} = 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $T_{\text{eff}} = 0.67T_i + 0.33T_n$ (T$_{\text{eff}}$: effective temperature, $T_i$: ion temperature, $T_n$: neutral temperature) [St.-Maurice and Torr, 1978]
Figure Captions

Figure 1. Oxygen ion density plotted in the latitude-altitude plane at 23:00 LT on February 1, 2007 (left panels) and August 1, 2007 (right panels) in the Asian region (100°E longitude) from the SAMI-2 model: (a) without neutral wind; (b) with the effect of normal neutral wind, whose strength and directions are indicated by the arrows.

Figure 2. The results of 630.0 nm emission rate at 23 LT at different temperatures and under different neutral wind conditions for (a) February 1, 2007 and (b) August 1, 2007: left and right panels respectively for -5° and +5° geomagnetic latitude; the letters, A, B, C, D and E, for the altitudes of 220 km, 230 km, 240 km, 250 km and 260 km, respectively; for normal neutral wind effect (black dotted lines) and windless conditions (red solid lines). The neutral wind conditions of Fig. 2 are the same as those shown in Fig. 1.

Figure 3. The profiles of neutral and charged species versus temperature which are involved in Eq. (4) at 230 km altitudes and -5° geomagnetic latitudes on February 1, 2007. (a) [O], [N₂] and [O₂] versus temperature. (b) The loss rate terms of \( k_1 [O] \), \( k_2 [N_2] \) and \( k_3 [O_2] \) versus temperature. (c) \( [O^+] \) versus temperature with/without the neutral wind effect. (d) The production rate-associated term of \( \gamma [O^+] [O_2] \) versus temperature with/without the neutral wind effect.
wind effect.

Figure 4. Quantitative results for how (a) the neutral temperature and (b) the neutral wind affect the 630-nm airglow intensity.

Figure 5. Plots of the emission rates against the turning temperature between 220-260 km altitudes.

Figure 6. Four observation cases by ISUAL in February 2007 and August 2007 (the same periods as shown in Fig. 1).

Figure 7. ISUAL data in the specific regions and seasons considered in the simulations: the nightglow bright spots in valid observation days during (a) January-February and (b) July-August.
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