Assessing the role of planetary and gravity waves on the vertical structure of ozone over midlatitudinal Europe

Peter Krížan

Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Czech Academy of Sciences
krizan@ufa.cas.cz

Abstract

Planetary and gravity waves play an important role in the dynamics of the atmosphere. They are present in the atmospheric distribution of temperature, wind and ozone content. These waves are detectable also in the vertical profile of ozone and they cause its undulation. One of the structures occurring in the vertical ozone profile is laminae, which are narrow layers of enhanced or depleted ozone concentration in the vertical ozone profile. They are connected with the total amount of ozone in the atmosphere and with the activity of the planetary and the gravity waves. The aim of this paper is quantifying these processes in the midlatitudinal Europe. We compare the occurrence of laminae induced by planetary waves (PL) with the occurrence of these induced by gravity waves (GL). We show that the PL are 10-20 times more frequent than that of GL. There is a strong annual variation of PL, while GL exhibit only a very weak variation. With the increasing lamina size the share of GL decreases and the share of PL increases. The vertical profile of lamina occurrence is different for PL and GL smaller than 2 mPa. For laminae greater than 2 mPa this difference is smaller.
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1. Introduction

There are various structures in the vertical profile of ozone affected by the activity of the planetary and gravity waves. Ones of them are narrow layers of the enhanced or depleted ozone concentration in the ozone vertical profile, which are called ozone laminae. The first investigation of these structures was made by Dobson (1973), who found that they occur predominantly in a cold half of the year. The existence of laminae was confirmed by lidar and satellite measurements (Bird et al., 1997, Orsolini et al., 1997, Kar et al., 2002). They were also found in water vapour in the stratosphere (Teitelbaum et al., 2000). The dynamics of the stratosphere plays a crucial role in a lamina formation. This finding was confirmed by the ability of dynamical models to capture these narrow layers (Manney et al., 2000, Orsolini et al., 2001). The number of large laminae is strongly correlated with the total ozone content and it is the reason why we have been interested in laminae (Krizan and Lastovicka, 2005).

The laminae are not only the indicator of the atmospheric ozone content but also they are connected with the gravity and planetary wave activity. Teitelbaum et al. (1995) developed a identification procedure which enable us to detect the planetary and gravity wave activity in the ozone vertical profile. In this paper we apply this method to ozone laminae and each lamina we sort to the one of the following groups: laminae induced by gravity wave activity (GL), by planetary wave activity (PL) and laminae which are neither induced by the gravity waves nor
by the planetary waves. Similar method was used by Grant et al., (1998) and Pierce and Grant (1998) but only for the Wallops Island station. The aim of this paper is finding the characteristics of GL and PL in midlatitudinal Europe in the period 1970-2016. At first we test if the Teitelbaum method is suitable for such research. Next the annual variation of GL and PL is examined. Then we explore the dependence of lamina composition on their size. We also compare the vertical distribution of GL and PL. We deal with their trends. The content of this paper is as follows: section 2 describes methods and data, section 3 gives results, in section 4 the results are discussed and the last section is conclusions.

2. Methods and data

Now we shortly describe the lamina searching procedure. Each positive lamina consists of the three main points: the lower minimum, the main maximum and the upper minimum. The depth of lamina must be between 500 and 3500 m due to the vertical resolution of the ozonosondes (lower limit) and due to the fact that the ozone lamina is a narrow layer of the enhanced ozone concentration (upper limit). The size of laminae is given as a difference between the ozone concentration in the main maximum and the average concentration from both minima. More about the lamina searching procedure can be found in (Krizan and Lastovicka, 2004) and (Lastovicka and Krizan, 2005).

The method used in this paper for the searching the activity of gravity and planetary waves in the ozone profile is a modification of the methods given by Teitelbaum et al. (1995). Figure 1 (upper panel) shows the real ozone profile at Hohenpeissenberg on February 2, 1970. We use the linear interpolation with the step 50 m for approximating the ozone profile with the high vertical resolution. Then the 50 point moving average (2500 m in vertical) is applied to this real profile to obtain the smooth profile. This smooth profile is also displayed in fig.1 (upper panel). The same procedure is applied to the potential temperature and the results are given in fig. 1 (lower panel). In the next step we compute the differences between the high resolution profile and the smooth profile for the ozone partial pressure (fig 2 upper panel) and the potential temperature (fig 2 lower panel). The differences are much higher for the ozone profile than for the potential temperature profile. The differences in the vertical gradients of the ozone partial pressure and the potential temperature must be taken into account. So we must apply the following correction factor to the potential temperature perturbations:

\[
R (z) = [(1/O_{3avg})^[(dO_3/dz)]^*[(1/\Theta_{avg})^[(d\Theta/dz)]^ (1, 1)
\]

where \(O_{3avg}\) is the average ozone partial pressure (potential temperature) in the layer with the width \(dz\). The vertical distribution of this correction is given in fig.3 (upper panel). The correction is the highest in the lower stratosphere where the vertical gradient of ozone is strong. Above 20 km we observe the negative values of this factor, which is predominantly given by the negative gradient of the ozone partial pressure and the strong positive gradient of the potential temperature. When we multiply the potential temperature perturbations with this correction, we obtain the perturbations, which are shown in fig. 3 (lower panel). These new perturbations are not similar to that given in fig.2 – lower panel.

In each point of the high resolution ozone profile we compute the correlation coefficient between the ozone perturbations and the scaled potential temperature perturbation up to 5 km above this point. The vertical dependence of this correlation coefficient from the ground to the point which is situated 5km below the highest ozone profile point is seen in fig.4. If the correlation coefficient is greater than 0.7, the vertical ozone profile in this point is influenced
by the gravity waves. In fig 4 the correlations are higher than 0.7 at some altitudes above 5 km
and below 15 km. If the lamina maximum is situated in this high correlation area, we conclude
this lamina is induced by the gravity waves. On the other hand, if these correlations are low
(between -0.3 and 0.3), we consider the ozone profile to be influenced by the planetary waves
in this point (from 17 to 22 km on fig. 4) and again if there is a lamina maximum there we
consider this lamina as the one induced by the planetary waves. When the correlation
coefficient is above 0.3 and below 0.7 or below -0.3 we are not able to evaluate what type of
laminae is present and call them indistinguishable laminae. The boundary values of correlation
coefficients were taken from Teitelbaum et al. (1995)

We are going to apply this procedure to the following European midlatitudes stations:
Hohenpeissenberg (Germany, 1970-2016, 5166 files), Payerne (Switzerland, 1970-2016, 5998
files), Uccle (Belgium, 1970-2015, 6221 files), Lindenberg (Germany, 1975-2013, 2380 files)
and Legionowo (Poland, 1979-2016, 1728 files). These data were taken from WOUDC Toronto
(http://woudc.org/archive/Archive-NewFormat/). During the research some problems with a
vertical resolution of ozone profile were occurred and so at the end we exclude the data from
the station Lindenberg. The Hohenpeissenberg data was used only for large laminae.

3. Results

3.1. Performance of method

At first we must answer the question if the procedure used in the paper is successful in
partitioning of laminae to the groups. If the procedure is suitable, the number of the
indistinguishable laminae cannot be very high. The performance of this procedure is given in
tab.1 for Hohenpeissenberg for each month and for all laminae regardless the size. The results
at the other stations are very similar. From this table we see that approximately 47 % of all
laminae are PL, while GL laminae formed about 10 % and the share of indistinguishable
laminae is about 43 %. It means more than 50 % of all laminae can be divided into the laminae
induced by the gravity or the planetary wave activity. So we can conclude this procedure is
successful in lamina partitioning, because nobody can expect only GL and PL will be present
and no indistinguishable laminae. Practically there is no yearly course in the lamina
composition.

3.2. Vertical resolution and number of laminae

At first we must look at the homogeneity of the sonde vertical resolution used in this
paper. The results are given in fig. 1. We see the resolution is not homogenous and the resolution
increases (vertical distance decreases) in the period 1970-2016. And thus we must ask the
question if this resolution change has effect on a number of laminae detected in the profile. We
have computed correlation coefficient between the yearly values of lamina number and vertical
resolution. If these correlations are significant the resolution influences the lamina number. We
did the correlations for the following groups of laminae: small (<1 mPa), medium (1-4 mPa)
and large (>4 mPa). The results are shown in tab.2. The number of small laminae is strongly
correlated with vertical resolution. It means the numbers of small laminae are affected by the
resolution. With increasing size of laminae these correlations decrease. For large laminae the
results are station dependant. These results are a bit surprising because one expects negative
correlations of lamina number with resolution and these negative correlations were observed
only for small laminae. For the explanation of these results we must look at the average lamina
depth in small, medium, and large laminae (table 2), which was obtained for the best vertical resolution (below 100 m). We can see the increase of lamina depth with increasing size. When the depth of laminae is small (small laminae), the vertical resolution strongly influences the lamina number, because with decreasing resolution the number of detected laminae decreases. On the other hand, the average depth of large laminae is above the worst vertical resolution (800 m - fig.5) and so the increasing resolution does not influence significantly the number of detected laminae.

The vertical resolution of sonde measurements must be comparable or smaller than the average depth of laminae and thus one can see (table 3) the maximal vertical resolution in the case of small laminae must be 100 m and for medium laminae 500 m. The depth of large laminae is above the worst vertical resolution so the large lamina results are not resolution dependant. Originally we considered also the station Lindenberg but it had to be excluded due to large and variable vertical resolution. The station Hohenpeissenberg is suitable only for several years after 2010. Only the stations Payerne and Uccle have suitable vertical resolution in the period 1990-2016 and the station Legionowo in the period 1995-2016. Because we must do compromise between the quality and amount of data we take into account only these three stations in the period 1995-2016 for the small and medium laminae and the Hoheinpeissenberg data for the large ones.

3.3. Annual variation of laminae induced by the gravity and the planetary wave activity

Figure 6 shows the annual variation of the number of laminae larger than 2 mPa for GL and PL at all stations used in this paper. The annual variation with maximum in winter/spring and summer/autumn minimum is clearly seen for PL but this pattern is very weak in case of GL. Monthly values of the ratio of the number of PL and GL at the European midlatitudinal stations are given in table 4 for laminae greater than 2 mPa. We see this ratio is month dependant. On average its value is from 10 to 20, but in January at Legionowo its value is nearly 100. We think it is an outlier. The number of PL is much higher than that of GL. This different behaviour of the annual variation is the evidence that the both type of laminae are formed by different processes.

3.4. Dependence of lamina type on the size of laminae

In this section we deal with the lamina type occurrence frequency in the selected classes of lamina size. The laminae were sorted to the following groups: small (<1 mPa), medium size (1-4 mPa) and large (>4 mPa) and in each group we found the occurrence frequency of different types of laminae. The results are presented in fig.7. The results are almost identical for all stations. The share of GL is decreasing with the increasing size and the opposite is true for PL. The performance of used procedure increases with the increasing lamina size (the share of indistinguishable laminae decreases). The gravity waves are able to produce predominantly small laminae, while the planetary waves produce also the large ones. Similar results were also obtained by Teitelbaum et al. (1995).

3.5. Vertical dependence of the occurrence of advection and gravity wave laminae

Now we examine the altitudinal dependence of occurrence of GL and PL at the stations used in this paper for all seasons. March, April and May form spring, June, July, August are summer months, September, October and November are the autumn ones and December,
January and February is winter. We divided the ozone vertical profile into 2 km wide intervals and in each interval we search for the lamina occurrence. The results are displayed as the percentage of all laminae which occur in the individual altitude interval. We grouped laminae into two groups: small (<2 mPa) and large (>2 mPa) and in each group we are searching for the lamina occurrence. The results are displayed only for the station Payerne, because at the other stations the results are similar. The winter results are given in fig. 8 for the large (upper panel) and the small (lower panel) laminae. The large laminae have similar behaviour both for GL and PL. Their maximal occurrence is observed in the lower stratosphere and there are no large laminae in the troposphere. On the other hand, the occurrence of the small laminae is different. GL have maximal occurrence in the troposphere. Similar behaviour is seen in spring (fig.9), where we observe strong small GL occurrence maximum in the troposphere. In spring small PL have the maximal occurrence in the lower stratosphere. In summer (fig.10) the large GL have broad stratospheric maximum and the smaller maximum is observed in the troposphere. Large GL have sharper stratospheric maximum and they are very little present in the troposphere. We observe broad stratospheric maximum in small PL occurrence in summer, while the small GL have bimodal vertical profile with one maximum in the troposphere and the other maximum is present in the stratosphere. In autumn (fig.11) the maximum in occurrence of small PL and GL laminae is observed in the stratosphere.

4. Discussion

We found the occurrence frequency of PL to be about 10-20 times larger than that of GL. The most frequent way of formation of the laminae induced by planetary waves is vertically different advection of air with the various ozone content (Manney et al., 2000). Tomikawa et al. (2002) proposed as one of lamina formation mechanism vertical shear of the subtropical jet. In these processes we observe transformation of the horizontal gradient of the ozone concentration into the vertical one. The air with the high ozone concentration comes to the midlatitudinal Europe in winter from the edge of the polar vortex (Orsolini et al., 2001). On the other hand, the low ozone air has its origin inside the polar vortex and it is transported to the mid latitudes (Reid and Vaughan, 1991) or it is the air from the low latitudes where ozone concentration is low (Orsolini et al., 1995). The strong source of gravity waves is orography (Smith et al., 2008), especially passing the air through a mountain range where the gravity waves occur in the downwind side of the ridge. For stations used in this paper the most important mountains are the Alps. These stations are situated in a such way during prevailing west winds they are not on the leeward side of the Alps and the share of gravity wave laminae are practically the same for all stations. The same is true for the laminae induced by planetary waves. In this case all stations are practically under the same conditions. So we cannot expect large interstation differences in lamina partitioning. It will be reasonable to do this investigation at the stations which lie on the leeward side of mountains or at stations which are in hot spots of the gravity wave activity (Sacha et al., 2016). The other sources of the gravity waves are jet stream and convection (Guest et al. 2000; Yoshiki et al. 2004). Their conditions are the same for all stations used in this study. In the troposphere the stratosphere-troposphere exchange may cause the positive laminae and in the stratosphere this exchange may lead to formation of negative laminae (Kritz, 1991).

Laminae greater than 2 mPa occur very predominantly in the stratosphere where the ozone concentration is high. When the ozone concentration is high, the probability of large lamina formation increases. The confirmation of this rule is also the yearly course of PL where the maximal occurrence is observed when the ozone concentration is the highest (winter and
spring). On the other hand, in the troposphere we observe neither the PL large laminae nor the large GL due to small ozone concentration. Similarly, we observe less large PL in the stratosphere in summer and fall. This dependence of the lamina occurrence on the background ozone concentration is valid only for PL, not for the gravity wave ones.

For the laminae smaller than 2 mPa the situation is different. We observe the differences in the vertical distribution of PL and GL. In winter the maximal occurrence is observed in the lower stratosphere in the case of PL, while gravity wave laminae have its occurrence maximum in the tropopause. In spring the small GL maximum lies lower than in winter. In summer the occurrence distribution has bimodal structure with one maximum in the troposphere and the other one in the stratosphere. In fall the stratospheric mode is dominant.

In summer and fall there is no polar vortex. Vortex remnants (Durry et al., 2005) may form the positive laminae in the stratosphere while the advection of air from low latitudes (Koch et al., 2002) creates layers with the low ozone concentration.

In the troposphere the situation is different. Positive laminae are created by various processes: the stratosphere-troposphere exchange (Manney et al., 2000), the advection of polluted air from the boundary layer (Oltmans et al., 2004; Collete et al., 2005) or in situ ozone production (Li et al., 2002). Tropospheric gravity waves occur predominantly in the transition region from the troposphere to the stratosphere where there is a strong change in the atmospheric stability.

Our paper is based on the lamina searching procedure introduced by Teitelbaum et al. (1995). In their paper no climatological results are presented. They illustrated the method for partitioning of laminae for several case studies. The goal of our paper is to use this method for obtaining the climatological results from the mid-Europe ozonosonde stations. Similar searching method was used by Grant et al. (1998) and Pierce and Grant (1998) but for tropical and low latitudes stations. The authors found rare occurrence of PL and majority of laminae was induced by gravity waves. We found more PL compared to the gravity induced ones, because our investigation was done in middle latitudes, not in the low and tropical ones. The activity of planetary waves is stronger in mid latitudes compared to the low and equatorial ones.

In this paper we were interested in PL and GL laminae which can be detected from the ozone profile. We evaluated the vertical profile of the PL and GL occurrence at Payerne. This station is situated in the valley between the Alps and Jura mountains. Behaviour of PL is given by the activity of planetary waves and thus there is no reason for which we can expect special behaviour of PL at this station. In the case of GL, the most important thing which governs GL behaviour is orography. The Alps are situated to the east (southeast) from the station so during prevailing west winds the most important feature of orography is Jura mountains which is not high enough for generating strong gravitational waves in the stratosphere. We can speculate some of GL in the troposphere may have its origin in Jura mountains.

5. Conclusions

The main results of this paper are:

- The most often the laminae are induced by the planetary wave activity (45-50 %), following by the indistinguishable ones (about 40 %). The share of the gravity wave laminae is about 10 %.
- There is a pronounced annual variation in the occurrence frequency of PL, while there is no such variation for GL.
• With increasing lamina size the share of gravity wave and indistinguishable laminae decreases while the share of the planetary wave laminae increases.

• The vertical distribution of lamina number for large laminae has maximum in the stratosphere while the distribution of small laminae is type and season dependant.
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**Figure 1:** Real and smooth ozone (upper panel) and potential temperature (lower panel) vertical profile at the Hohenpeissenberg from February 2, 1970.

**Figure 2:** Differences between real and smooth vertical profile from February 2, 1970 for ozone (upper panel) and potential temperature (lower panel).
Figure 3: Vertical profile of potential temperature correction factor (upper panel) and vertical profile of differences between real and smooth potential temperature profile (lower panel) after correction.

Figure 4: The vertical profile of correlations between the corrected potential temperature differences and the ozone differences from February 2, 1970 at Hohenpeissenberg. The red vertical lines are the borders for the laminae induced by the planetary waves and the blue vertical line is the border for gravity wave ones.
**Figure 5:** Long term evolution of average vertical resolution of profiles at the European ozonesonde stations.

**Figure 6:** The annual variation of the lamina number per ozone profile for PL (group of lines with the strong variation) and for GL (group of lines with the weak variation) at the European ozonosonde stations.
Figure 7: The dependence of the lamina composition on a lamina size for PL (upper panel), GL (middle panel) and indistinguishable laminae (lower panel) at the European stations (1-Payerne, 2 – Uccle, 3 – Legionowo).

Figure 8: The vertical dependence of the occurrence of the laminae induced by the gravity waves and the ones induced by planetary waves at Payerne in the period 1995-2016 in winter in terms of percentage of all GL and all PL.
Figure 9: The same as fig.7 but for spring.

Figure 10: Vertical dependence of lamina occurrence in summer.

Figure 11: The same as fig. 9, but in autumn.
Table 1: Monthly composition of laminae (%) at Hohenpeissenberg in the period 1970-2016 (undist- undistinguishable laminae)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GL</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>undist</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Correlation coefficient of lamina number and average vertical resolution at the European mid latitudes stations from the period 1970-2016 (before slash - PL, after slash – GL). Significant correlation coefficient values are in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>&lt;1 mPa</th>
<th>1-4 mPa</th>
<th>&gt;4 mPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hohenpeissenberg</td>
<td>-0.95/-0.68</td>
<td>-0.57/0.55</td>
<td>-0.09/0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payerne</td>
<td>-0.49/-0.37</td>
<td>-0.50/0.29</td>
<td>0.32/0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uccle</td>
<td>-0.66/-0.61</td>
<td>0.57/-0.07</td>
<td>0.00/0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindenber</td>
<td>-0.79/-0.51</td>
<td>-0.88/-0.54</td>
<td>-0.76/0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legionowo</td>
<td>-0.81/-0.80</td>
<td>-0.77/-0.07</td>
<td>0.31/0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Average lamina depth (m) in the selected lamina size intervals at the European mid latitude stations for the vertical resolution below 100m (before slash - advective laminae, after slash – gravity wave laminae).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>&lt;1 mPa</th>
<th>1-4 mPa</th>
<th>&gt;4 mPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hohenpeissenberg</td>
<td>198/203</td>
<td>733/1021</td>
<td>1895/2057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payerne</td>
<td>112/144</td>
<td>486/597</td>
<td>1874/1803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uccle</td>
<td>121/206</td>
<td>486/761</td>
<td>1832/1775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legionowo</td>
<td>104/142</td>
<td>535/702</td>
<td>1909/1983</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Monthly values of ratio of the number of PL and GL at the European midlatitudinal stations for laminae greater than 2 mPa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>VI</th>
<th>VII</th>
<th>VIII</th>
<th>IX</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>XI</th>
<th>XII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payerne</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uccle</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legionowo</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>